UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/702,298	10/31/2000	Steven A. Bogen	1159.1004-005	3668
21005 7590 07/14/2008 HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD			EXAMINER	
			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
P.O. BOX 9133 CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/702,298	BOGEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lyle A. Alexander	1797				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 M</u>	larch 2008					
,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<i>i</i>	/ -					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayre</i> , 1933 C.D. 11, 403 C.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-18</u> is/are pending in the application	☑ Claim(s) <u>3-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muller et al. (USP 5,273,905).

Muller et al. teach a method and apparatus for the sequential multi-stop processing of slides for staining comprising a liquid dispensing means, heating means and aspiration means. Column 4 lines 4+ teach microprocessor control of both the heating and movement of the sample to chambers of different volumes based upon desired analysis. This has been read on the claimed "... dispenser orifice and slide housing being capable of relative movement to each other under microprocessor control ..." (e.g. the dispensing orifice is move to a different chamber based upon the analysis and volume required). Column 4 lines 27+ teach multiple processing stations that are

Page 3

modular in design having "quick connect/disconnect" means to fluidic and electrical supplies which has been read on the claimed "... hose transport mechanism...".

Column 15 lines 8+ teach block member(37) with good heat transfer characteristics is adjacent to the glass slide which has been read on the claimed "heater"/"heating element is adjacent to the slide". Column 66 lines 54+ teach the fluid is remove "either by suction or by flowing a washing fluid or the like through the chamber..." and has been read on the claimed "liquid aspirator".

With respect to the 3/17/08 amendments, the new limitations "... liquid is dispensed from above ..." has been read on figure 18 where conduits(132,133) are "above" chamber(116) and supply the sample to the chamber(116). The new limitations "... each cavity being mounted on separate structures that provide relative movement of the supply input between the orifice and each cavity ..." has been read on the taught valve(129) that provides relative movement of each of the supply lines of reservoirs (R1-R11).

Muller et al. teach manually placing a single slide into a slide cavity and is silent to the claimed " ... mounted on separate structures ... " which requires a plurality of slide cavities.

The court decided In re Harza, 274 F.2d 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960) that if the reference did not disclose a plurality of ribs, the court held that mere duplication of parts has no patentable significance unless a new and unexpected result is produced. The facts of this case are similar. Muller et al. fails to teach plural slide mounting structures. It would have been advantageous to provide multiple slide

mounting structures to achieve the expected result of process more than one slide simultaneously. In the absence of a showing of new and unexpected results, it would have been within the skill of the art to modify Muller et al. and provide a plurality of slide mounting structures that would be in fluid communication with the reservoirs (R1 -R11) through valve(129) to gain the expected results of process more slides simultaneously.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 3/17/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states Muller fails to teach the claimed limitations "... liquid is dispensed from above ..." Muller teaches in figure 18 conduits(132,133) that are "above" chamber(116). The Office maintains this language is sufficiently broad to have been properly read on Muller.

Applicant states Muller fails to teach the claimed "... each cavity being mounted on separate structures that provide relative movement between the orifice and each cavity ..." The Office maintains Muller et al. teach valve(129) that supplies the liquid through the module conduits(132,133) effectively moves the relative position of the supply lines from reservoirs(R1-R11).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday and Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 09/702,298 Page 5

Art Unit: 1797

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1797

/Lyle A Alexander/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797